

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/388,813	STEPHAN, WALDEMAR
	Examiner	Art Unit
	VINH P NGUYEN	2829

All Participants:

(1) VINH P NGUYEN.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. Dubno.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 March 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic

Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

13 and 23

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner discussed with Mr. Dubno about making some changes in claims 13 and 23 for improving the clarity (see Examiner's amendment)

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)